Poster Showcase #IAEM24

Risk Based Emergency Response and Crisis Management Plan with Consequences Modelling at Saudi Electricity Company (SEC)

Buncefield Case Study

On December 11th, 2005 Fire occurred on hydrocarbon storage tank due to process incident -Fire size 100m x 100m & Time to respond 1 hour

Incident Response 150,000 liters of Foam estimated – with margin for error up to 300,000 liters which were completely utilized to response to incident.





Due to delay in response to incident:

- 22 tanks went on fire
- Time to fully extinguish fire is 104 hours - 642 fire appliance used to combat fire
- Foam and water actual utilization :
- 786000 liters of foam
- 53 million liters of clean water

Why Consequence based Emergency Response Plan?

Purpose

To identify necessary information to develop Crisis Management and Emergency Response Plan, which sets forth critical guidance and procedures to manage crises and emergencies during incidents with potential to result in harm to:

>People,

- > Property,
- ► Environment; and
- > Disruption to operations at facilities



SEC Consequence Modelling

Criteria for Developing Consequences Modelling



- Identification of highest consequences scenarios C4/C5
- All process scenarios from HCIS SAF 20.
- **Business Impact Analysis** 03

Contour Development for Impact Analysis



Thermal Heat Radiation : (3 KW/m2, 12.5 KW/m2, 32 KW/m2)



- Toxic (1%, 10% and 90% Lethality)

Heavy Fuel Oil Tanks

Consequence Model Parameters

- Software: DNV Phast 8.9
- Model Standalone Pool Fire
- Material Heavy Fuel Oil (HFO)
- Wind direction West NorthWest (WNW)
- Pool Fire (Tank) Diameter 80m •
- Pool Fire (Tank) Height 24m
- Solar Radiation Flux 1 kW/m²
- Relative Humidity 0.05
- Wind Speed 6m/s
- Pasquill Stability Moderate Unstable Moderate Sun & High Wind
- Atmospheric Temperature 45 °C
- Heat Contours 3 kW/m² (1st-degree burns if exposed to 40 seconds without protective equipment).
- Heat Contours 6 kW/m² (Personnel injured if they are not wearing protective equipment and do not escape quickly).
- Heat Contours 32 kW/m² (Fire escalation if no protection - consider flame impingement).
- Heat contours at tank height

Results:

230 230	Legend	Heat Radiation	
		3 kW∕m²	
		6 kW∕mª	
		32 kW∕ m²	
		•	







Benefits of Consequences Modelling



Emergency Resource Readiness

Safe Distance





- Learning from company & world wide Incidents
- matrix relevant Mutual Aid Partners
- partners.

Source: SEC Emergency Response Standard (Consistent with National Fire Protection Association (NFPA 1620) and High Commission for Industrial Security (HCIS) - SAF 20) Reference – HSE – UK Report - Loss Prevention Bulletin 254 April 2017 | 23 Link : https://www.icheme.org/media/1274/lpb254pg23.pdf (For Public Awareness)



ABDULAZIZ BOSAEED



الشركة السعودية للكهرباء Saudi Electricity Company نعمل بإتقان من أجلكم



Consideration of Credible Scenarios

Competent Emergency Response Team

Evacuation Plan

Technology Deployment

Key Learnings

Development of Risk based ERPs and its linkage to Process Safety Competent Manpower based on developed competency training

Training to Emergency Response Staff, Incident Commander and

Internal and External Drill/exercise on developed scenario

Ensuring required resources and logistics at sites and with Mutual Aid